



Nurse Staffing Indicator Template Reporting Period: 1st – 31th May. 2018

Summary of Results and Commentary – Registered Nurse Staffing Levels

				Registered Nurses
	Ward	Day	Night	Comments
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	89%	90%	Within normal boundaries, unit safely staffed at all times.
	Rose	100%	95%	Within normal boundaries.
	AICU	81%	84%	Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
RBH	Alex	119%	104%	Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
Heart &	Elizabeth	94%	68%	Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
Paeds	Paul Wood	84%	93%	Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
	Sir Reginald	81%	99%	
	Wilson			Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
	York	95%	80%	Registered nurse staffing appropriate for safe delivery of patient care.
	Foulis	114%	97%	Safe staffing maintained to meet patient acuity and care needs. Increase in staff to meet higher care needs for some patients.
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A
	Victoria	117%	96%	Safe staffing levels met and matched with the acuity of level 2 patients.
	Cedar	87%	84%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Night shifts.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	85%	90%	Safely staffed, utilised students and supernumerary staff reduced patient acuity.
	HDU	91%	91%	Nursing levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
Harefield	ITU	155%	115%	Increased bed numbers and supernumerary staff due to active recruitment programme.
	Maple	85%	103%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Day shifts.
	Juniper	101%	53%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Night shifts. Reduced requirement for night staff due to decreased Private Patient admissions.
	Oak/Acorn	79%	65%	Figures reflect reduction in overnight beds, sufficient registered nurses on shift to provide safe patient care.
Whole Tru	ıst	98%	89%	

Summary of Results and Commentary – Care Staffing Levels

			Care Staff (NB this is a small proportion of the overall nurse staffing complement)								
	Ward	Day	Night	Comments							
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments							
	PICU	39%	72%	Not giving direct patient care.							
	Rose	38%	69%	Not giving direct patient care.							
	AICU	56%	32%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
RBH	Alex	68%	139%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	59%	48%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
lucus	Paul Wood	56%	38%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
	Sir Reginald Wilson	56%	35%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
	York	56%	45%	Healthcare worker shifts not filled as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.							
	Foulis	56%	0	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patient's needs.							
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A							
	Victoria	64%	74%	Safe staffing levels met with ward acuity and offset by registered nurses when needed.							
	Cedar	77%	68%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.							
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A							
	Rowan/Fir Tree	70%	84%	Unable to fill shifts due to lack of availability, safe staffing levels maintained by utilising supernumerary staff and reallocation of duties.							
	HDU	-	-	N/A							
Harefield	ITU	70%	130%	Additional HCA on night duty due to acuity of patients and dependency levels.							
	Maple	86%	73%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.							
	Juniper	83%	64%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.							
	Oak/Acorn	40%	50%	Figures reflect reduction in overnight beds, sufficient care staff on shift to provide safe patient care.							
Whole Tru	ıst	58%	68%								

Table 1: Day Shifts

		Day														
				Registered	d Nurses	Care Staff										
	Ward			Actual (hrs)					Α	ctual (hrs)						
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6975	4590	525	1101	6216	89%	1581	602	11.5	0	613	39%			
-	Rose	5813	4643	632	544	5819	100%	1581	602	0	0	602	38%			
	AICU	8138	6549	33	0	6582	81%	1302	734	0	0	734	56%			
RBH	Alex	2821	3098	147	101	3345	119%	1302	734	79.5	72	885	68%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	4836	3705	326	516	4546	94%	1302	734	35	0	769	59%			
Paeds	Paul Wood	2613	1823	177	191	2190	84%	1302	734	0	0	734	56%			
	Sir Reginald															
	Wilson	4030	3098	112	35	3245	81%	1302	734	0	0	734	56%			
	York	2509	1928	320	142	2389	95%	1302	734	0	0	734	56%			
	Foulis	2314	2535	68	36	2639	114%	930	503	22	0	525	56%			
Lung	Lind ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Victoria	2418	2625	179	32	2835	117%	518	330	0	0	330	64%			
	Cedar	2496	1909	227	23	2159	87%	713	529	23	0	552	77%			
	Cherry Tree ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	4433	3675	100	0	3774	85%	1178	763	57	0	820	70%			
Harefield	HDU	2325	2116	0	0	2116	91%	0	0	0	0	0	0%			
Haleneiu	ITU	7363	10941	345	92	11378	155%	1258.5	862	23	0	885	70%			
	Maple	2015	1668	40	0	1707	85%	782	644	29	0	673	86%			
	Juniper	2404	2279	114	23	2416	101%	1000	803	28	0	831	83%			
	Oak/Acorn	6045	4473	255	23	4751	79%	2418	927	29	0	956	40%			
Whole Trus	st	69546	61652	3596	2857	68105	97.93%	19770	10964	336	72	11372	57.52%			

^{*} Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15th Jun. 2018. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

Table 2: Night Shifts

		Night														
				Registered	Nurses		Care Staff									
	Ward		Actual (hrs)						А							
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6417	3688	1035	1045	5768	90%	391	270	11.5	0	282	72%			
	Rose	5348	3151	1185	745	5080	95%	391	270	0	0	270	69%			
	AICU	7487	6118	197	12	6326	84%	341	107.8	0	0	108	32%			
RBH	Alex	1705	946	639	187	1772	104%	341	108	235.5	132	475	139%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	4092	1705	783	275	2763	68%	341	108	33	22	163	48%			
Paeds	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	1364	726	473	66	1265	93%	341	108	11	11	130	38%			
	Wilson	1705	990	606	88	1684	99%	341	108	11	0	119	35%			
	York	1705	968	310	88	1366	80%	341	108	11	33	152	45%			
	Foulis	1705	1419	176	66	1661	97%	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Lung	Lind ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Victoria	1364	1243	55	11	1309	96%	253	176	11	0	187	74%			
	Cedar	1783	1219	242	46	1506	84%	713	402.5	81	0	484	68%			
	Cherry Tree ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	3069	2312	390	46	2747	90%	714	529	68	0	597	84%			
Harefield	HDU	2139	1921	19	0	1940	91%	0	0	0	0	0	0%			
Hareneid	ITU	6774	6268	1093	450	7810	115%	357	333.5	131	0	465	130%			
	Maple	1023	920	134	0	1054	103%	736	494.5	46	0	541	73%			
	Juniper	2496	994	311	12	1316	53%	713	440.7	18	0	458	64%			
	Oak/Acorn	3751	2024	403	0	2427	65%	682	253	88	0	341	50%			
Whole Tru	ıst	53925	36611	8048	3135	47793	88.63%	6996	3816.5	756	198	4770	68.19%			

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

¹ Lind: please note that Lind ward have no longer inpatients cases, daycase will not be reported to NHS Improvement, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Lind's beds are currently for daycases.

²Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS Improvement, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for daycases.

Table 3: Reasons for Use of Bank and Agency (by no. of shifts)

This information will not be reported to NHS London

		Annual	Patient	Patient			Maternity	Study	
	Ward	leave	acuity	Volume	Sickness	Vacancy	cover	Leave	Other
	PICU	0	0	447	0	0	0	0	0
	Rose	0	0	400	0	0	0	0	0
	AICU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RBH Heart &	Alex	0	62	138	0	0	0	0	0
Paeds	Elizabeth	0	10	318	0	0	0	0	0
iucus	Paul Wood	0	3	116	0	0	0	0	0
	Sir Reginald	_	_		_	_	_	_	_
	Wilson	0	9	96	0	0	0	0	0
	York	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Foulis	0	2	33	0	0	0	0	0
Lung	Lind	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
	Victoria	1	5	12	1	48	0	0	0
	Cedar	0	0	25	8	48	0	0	0
	Cherry Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rowan/Fir Tree	0	2	53	1	25	0	0	0
Harefield	HDU	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
i iai eilelu	ITU	0	0	280	0	0	0	0	0
	Maple	0	0	9	2	18	1	0	0
	Juniper	4	1	1	5	1	0	0	0
	Oak/Acorn	0	5	75	3	21	0	0	0
Whole									
Trust		5	108	2016	20	161	1	0	0

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

Table 4: Use of RMNs (by no. of hours)

This is included in the tables above, and will form part of the numbers reported to NHS London. This is a change to last month, following the publication of further guidance from NHS England.

Ward	Number of hours	
-		0

Source: Nurse Bank Databases

Appendix 1: Data sources, definitions and assumptions made

Tables 1 and 2: Day and Night Shifts

This data is compiled from a number of sources:

1. Planned hours. This is the number of hours each ward is funded for (from nurse recruitment office) and should match the posters up on each ward.

2. Actual hours

- a. Usual roster. These are the hours that have been recorded on e-roster/MAPPS.
- b. Bank. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked bank staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
- c. Agency. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked agency staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
- d. Care staff. HCA's working on the adult wards in Sydney Street are pooled and rotate between the 5 wards. Therefore, the planned and actual hours worked hours have been averaged out to be equal across all these wards.
- 3. Total fill. This is the sum of the actual hours worked (i.e. usual roster, bank, agency)
- 4. Average fill rate. This is total fill divided by the planned hours, expressed as a %.

Table 3: Reasons for Bank and Agency Use

These are the reasons, as documented on the Nurse Bank spreadsheets.

For RBH, there were a number of blank entries, which have been excluded.

Table 4: Use of RMNs

If a patient needs RMN input, then this can equate to a notable number of additional hours. Details of RMN use is reported here for internal use only – it is also required to be reported to NHS Digital, and is included in the other tables in this report.

Appendix 2: NHS Digital template

Reporting Period:	1/5/2014 to 31/	05/2014	ng: Nu	Fill rat Irsing,	e indi midwi	cator i ifery a	return nd car			the UNII	FY syster	n				
						n	av			Ni	ght		n	ay	Nic	ght
Hospital	Site Details	Details Main two specialties on each ward					Registered nurses/ Care Staff			Ave	<u> }</u>	Ave	yı 			
Site code "The Site code is automatical y populated when a Site name is selected	name	Ward name	S1	S2	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	/erage fill rate - care staff (%)	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	rage fill rate - care staff (%)
	Select from from drop down list		drop	Select from from drop down list										Automatic calculation		