



Nurse staffing indicator template

Reporting Period: 1 May 2015 – 31 May 2015

Summary of results and commentary – registered nurse staffing levels

				Registered nurses
	Ward	Day	Night	Comments
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	113%	116%	Appropriately staffed to meet patient numbers and their acuity, were supported by Rose Ward on a number of
	Rose	118%	98%	shifts
		220/0	3070	Day shift above plan to support PICU on a number of shifts. Night shift numbers were adjusted due to a lower patient numbers overnight, with occasional support being given to PICU.
RBH	AICU	117%	127%	On plan
Heart &	Alex	115%	95%	On plan
Paeds	Elizabeth	109%	89%	On plan
	Paul Wood	108%	99%	On plan
	Sir Reginald	128%	97%	·
	Wilson			On plan
	York	122%	127%	On plan
	Foulis	122%	179%	Additional temporary staff required pending permanent post approvals.
Lung	Lind	99%	100%	
	Victoria	99%	130%	Staff matched to patient numbers and acuity.
	Cedar	176%	106%	Currently Cedar ward over established to support recruitment to Holly ward – staff will be rotating to Rowan ward
	Charmy Trees			from mid-July.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
Harefield	Rowan/Fir Tree	94%	83%	Night shortfall covered by ITU staff doing haemofiltration.
патепеш	HDU	90%	94%	Beds taken up with level 1 patients as unable to discharge patients as no ward beds available
	ITU	109%	111%	Staff moved to other areas
	Maple	85%	111%	Long term sickness cover, accrued annual leave post mat leave, and mat leave cover during May.
	Oak/Acorn	106%	122%	Staff reflected the acuity and dependency on the unit
Whole Tru	ıst	110%	110%	

Nurse Staffing – May. 15

Last updated: 12th Jun 15

			(Care staff (NB this is a small proportion of the overall nurse staffing complement)
	Ward	Day	Night	Comments
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	95%	52%	PICU appropriately staffed with healthcare staff on day shift, decision made not to replace night shift with unknown temporary staff.
	Rose	95%	52%	Rose Ward appropriately staffed with healthcare staff on day shift, decision made not to replace night shift with unknown temporary staff.
	AICU	65%	0%	On Plan
RBH	Alex	42%	97%	Day shift healthcare worker posts not replaced as sufficient RN on shift to provide nursing care. Night shift on plan
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	38%	48%	Day and night shift healthcare worker posts not replaced as sufficient RN on shift to provide nursing care. Night shift on plan
	Paul Wood	40%	48%	Day and night shift healthcare worker posts not replaced as sufficient RN on shift to provide nursing care. Night shift on plan
	Sir Reginald Wilson	38%	48%	Day and night shift healthcare worker posts not replaced as sufficient RN on shift to provide nursing care. Night shift on plan
	York	38%	48%	Day and night shift healthcare worker posts not replaced as sufficient RN on shift to provide nursing care. Night shift on plan
	Foulis	102%	87%	
Lung	Lind	N/A	N/A	
_	Victoria	91%	330%	Staff matched to patient numbers and acuity.
	Cedar	55%	90%	Unexpected short term sickness cover required to support patient dependency.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	59%	97%	Day shortfall covered by utilising other staff groups i.e. specialist nurses, and student nurses.
Harefield	HDU	27%	0%	N/A
Harchela	ITU	135%	90%	Additional staff on days due to sickness and patient levels.
	Maple	50%	116%	1:1 specialing of patient 24 hrs. per day for May staffing reflects the dependency of patients.
	Oak/Acorn	88%	209%	Staffing at night reflected the dependency of patients on the unit, including 1:1 every night and also responding to need – recruiting for permanent staff continues.
Whole Tru	ıst	68%	87%	

Table 1: Day shifts

		Day														
Ward				Registe	ered nurses		Care staff									
		Actual (hrs)								Actual (hrs)						
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6588	5300	614	1532	7445	113%	1015	968	0	0	968	95%			
	Rose	5813	5940	332	564	6835	118%	1015	968	0	0	968	95%			
	AICU	6200	6181	405	692	7279	117%	330	212	4	0	216	65%			
RBH	Alex	2821	2940	104	215	3258	115%	558	212	24	0	236	42%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	4030	3345	321	709	4375	109%	558	212	0	0	212	38%			
Paeds	Paul Wood	2093	1913	115	231	2259	108%	558	212	12	0	224	40%			
	Sir Reginald Wilson	2418	3015	38	35	3087	128%	558	212	0	0	212	38%			
	York	2431	2655	110	193	2958	122%	558	212	0	0	212	38%			
	Foulis	2691	2880	191	218	3289	122%	465	450	24	0	474	102%			
Lung	Lind ¹	713	683	12	8	702	99%	0	0	0	0	0	N/A			
	Victoria	2883	2670	127	63	2860	99%	465	412.5	11	0	424	91%			
	Cedar	1628	2828	31	12	2870	176%	1178	644	0	0	644	55%			
	Cherry Tree ²	819	0	0	0	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	3900	3540	94	35	3668	94%	1178	545	117	35	697	59%			
Harefield	HDU	2325	1966	119	0	2085	90%	589	161	0	0	161	27%			
	ITU	8025	8178	468	88	8734	109%	654.5	347	539	0	886	135%			
	Maple	2964	2292	215	0	2507	85%	1690	776	65	0	841	50%			
	Oak/Acorn	5239	4860	683	30	5572	106%	2038	1403	392	0	1795	88%			
Whole Trus	st	63579	61184	3976	4620	69781	109.75%	13407	7943	1188	35	9166	68.37%			

^{*} Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15 June 2015. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

¹Lind: please note these are the total hours planned and filled for the unit. When reporting to NHS England, day case beds are excluded

Table 2: Night shifts

* Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15 June 2015. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

			Night														
Ward				Registe	ered nurses			Care staff									
			ı	Actual (hrs)						Actual (hrs)							
		Planned	Usual			Total fill	Average fill	Planned	Usual		_	Total fill	Average fill				
		(hrs)	roster	Bank	Agency	(hrs)	rate (%)	(hrs)	roster	Bank	Agency	(hrs)	rate (%)				
	PICU	6061	4314	947	1773	7033	116%	535	276	0	0	276	52%				
	Rose	5348	4083	380	760	5222	98%	535	276	0	0	276	52%				
	AICU	5704	5681	656	897	7234	127%	136.4	0	0	0	0	0%				
RBH	Alex	1705	1298	143	176	1617	95%	136	66	66	0	132	97%				
Heart &	Elizabeth	3410	1595	868	563	3026	89%	136	66	0	0	66	48%				
Paeds	Paul Wood	1254	902	176	165	1243	99%	136	66	0	0	66	48%				
	Sir Reginald	1264	891	366	66	1323	97%	136	66	0	0	66	48%				
	Wilson	1364								0	0						
	York	1254	1188	297	110	1595	127%	136	66	0	0	66	48%				
	Foulis	1364	1672	556	220	2448	179%	341	187	111	0	298	87%				
Lung	Lind ¹	308	308	0	0	308	100%	0	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	Victoria	1364	1496	107	165	1768	130%	120	209	187	0	396	330%				
	Cedar	1426	1449	58	0	1507	106%	713	621	23	0	644	90%				
	Cherry Tree ²	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0%				
	Rowan/Fir Tree	2728	1909	324	35	2268	83%	714	563.5	126	0	690	97%				
Harefield	HDU	2024	1737	150	12	1898	94%	357	0	0	0	0	0%				
	ITU	7268	6314	1461	322	8096	111%	357	218.5	102	0	321	90%				
	Maple	1705	1587	299	0	1886	111%	713	598	230	0	828	116%				
	Oak/Acorn	3069	1760	1753	218	3730	122%	341	297	370	46	713	209%				
Whole Trus	st	47355	38182	8538	5480	52200	110.23%	5543	3576	1216	46	4838	87.28%				

Source: Nurse bank databases, e-roster/MAPPS

²Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS London, as day case beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for day cases.

Table 3: Reasons for use of bank and agency (by no. of shifts)

This information will not be reported to NHS London

Source: Nurse bank databases

		Annual	Patient	Patient			Maternity	Study	
	Ward	leave	acuity	volume	Sickness	Vacancy	cover	leave	Other
	PICU	0	0	425	0	0	0	0	0
	Rose	0	0	185	0	1	0	0	0
	AICU	0	0	9	0	243	0	0	0
RBH	Alex	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	0	0	176	0	0	0	0	0
raeus	Paul Wood	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0
	Sir Reginald	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0
	Wilson	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0
	York	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Foulis	0	2	60	6	26	0	0	0
Lung	Lind	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0
	Victoria	0	0	5	10	45	0	0	0
	Cedar	0	2	0	9	0	0	0	0
	Cherry Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rowan/Fir Tree	2	11	19	19	13	1	0	0
Harefield	HDU	0	0	19	3	3	0	0	0
	ITU	0	0	281	0	0	0	0	0
	Maple	10	21	3	15	11	0	4	0
	Oak/Acorn	20	42	140	70	10	35	2	0
Whole									
Trust		32	78	1416	132	355	39	6	0

¹Lind: please note these are the total hours planned and filled for the unit. When reporting to NHS England, day case beds are excluded

²Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS London, as day case beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for day cases.

Table 4: Use of RMNs (by no. of hours)

This is included in the tables above, and will form part of the numbers reported to NHS London. This is a change to last month, following the publication of further guidance from NHS England.

Ward	Number of hours
-	0

Source: Nurse bank databases

Appendix 1: Data sources, definitions and assumptions made

Tables 1 and 2: Day and night shifts

This data is compiled from a number of sources:

- 1. Planned hours. This is the number of hours each ward is funded for (from nurse recruitment office) and should match the posters up on each ward.
- 2. Actual hours
 - a. Usual roster. These are the hours that have been recorded on e-roster/MAPPS.
 - b. Bank. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the nurse bank/agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked bank staff directly (ie not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - c. Agency. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the nurse bank/agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked agency staff directly (ie not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - d. Care staff. HCAs working on the adult wards in Sydney Street are pooled and rotate between the five wards. Therefore, the planned and actual hours worked hours have been averaged out to be equal across all these wards.
- 3. Total fill. This is the sum of the actual hours worked (i.e. usual roster, bank, agency)
- 4. Average fill rate. This is total fill divided by the planned hours, expressed as a %.

Table 3: Reasons for bank and agency use

These are the reasons, as documented on the nurse bank spreadsheets.

For RBH, there were a number of blank entries, which have been excluded.

Table 4: Use of RMNs

If a patient needs RMN input, then this can equate to a notable number of additional hours. Details of RMN use is reported here for internal use only – it is also required to be reported to UNIFY, and is included in the other tables in this report.

Appendix 2: UNIFY template

ting Period: 1	1/5/2014 to 31/0 IL to the page	05/2014	ng: Nu	T not for u Fill rat ursing,	e indi midwi	cator r fery a	eturn nd car			the UNII	FY syster	n				
						D	ay			Ni	ght		D	ay	Nig	ght
Hospital Si	ite Details		Main two specialties I on each ward						Registered nurses/ midwives Care Staff			Aver	Ave	Ave	Ave	
Site code "The Site code is automaticall y populated when a Site name is selected	Hospital Site name	Ward name	S1	\$2	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	verage fill rate - care staff (%)	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	rage fill rate - care staff (%)
f	Select from rom drop down list		drop	Select from from drop dawn list										Automatic calculation		