



# Nurse Staffing Indicator Template Reporting Period: 1<sup>st</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018

# **Summary of Results and Commentary – Registered Nurse Staffing Levels**

				Registered Nurses
	Ward	Day % fill rate	Night % fill rate	Comments
	PICU	97%	93%	Appropriate staffing.
	Rose	106%	98%	Appropriate staffing.
	AICU	75%	76%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
RBH	Alex	110%	96%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
Heart &	Elizabeth	79%	61%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
Paeds	Paul Wood	80%	88%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
	Sir Reginald Wilson	70%	86%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
	York	94%	78%	Registered nurse levels adjusted according to patient numbers and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
	Foulis	111%	99%	Safe staffing levels met to meet patient acuity and care needs.
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A
	Victoria	114%	110%	Increased Registered Nurses to maintain safe level of care based on patient acuity and level 2 complex care needs.
	Cedar	92%	93%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	87%	97%	Safe staffing levels, supernumary staff utilised and activity slightly below plan.
	HDU	89%	87%	Staffing levels adjusted according to patient acuity and numbers. Safe staffing levels maintained.
Harefield	ITU	151%	132%	Additional funded beds to 24 flexing staff according to number of beds utilised 22-24. Supernumerary new starters included in numbers.
	Maple	78%	105%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Day shifts.
	Juniper	92%	78%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Night shifts. Reduced
	Oak/Acorn	78%	83%	requirement for night staff due to decreased Private Patient admissions.  Following reduction in overnight beds, sufficient registered nurses on duty to deliver safe patient care.
Whole Tru	ıst	96%	92%	

# **Summary of Results and Commentary – Care Staffing Levels**

				Care Staff (NB this is a small proportion of the overall nurse staffing complement)
	Ward	Day	Night	
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	37%	45%	HCAs are not delivering care in the area.
	Rose	37%	45%	HCAs are not delivering care in the area.
	AICU	52%	29%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
RBH	Alex	54%	69%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	55%	42%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
	Paul Wood	52%	52%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
	Sir Reginald Wilson	57%	52%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
	York	52%	35%	HCA shifts not replaced as sufficient registered nurses available to provide care.
	Foulis	57%	_	This is offset by registered nurses to safely meet patient care needs.
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A
J G	Victoria	91%	110%	Care staff offset by registered nurses during the day and appropriate to maintain safe care for complex care needs at night.
	Cedar	85%	82%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	62%	103%	Safe staffing levels, supernumary staff utilised.
	HDU	0%	0%	N/A
Harefield	ITU	90%	155%	Additional HCA staff used at night due to increase in beds to support nursing staff and increase in patient acuity.
	Maple	90%	88%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.
	Juniper	72%	84%	Safe staffing maintained, patients treatment and care needs met. Unfilled HCA day/night shifts.
	Oak/Acorn	50%	56%	Following reduction in overnight beds sufficient care staff on duty to deliver safe patient care.
Whole Tru	ıst	58%	73%	

Table 1: Day Shifts

		Day														
				Registered	d Nurses		Care Staff									
	Ward			Actual (hrs)					А							
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6750	5604	345	616	6565	97%	1523	558	0	0	558	37%			
	Rose	5625	4605	587	775	5967	106%	1523	558	0	0	558	37%			
	AICU	7875	5865	8	0	5873	75%	1260	654	0	0	654	52%			
RBH	Alex	2730	2625	128	263	3015	110%	1260	654	27	0	681	54%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	4680	3405	181	120	3706	79%	1260	654	36	0	690	55%			
Paeds	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	2496	1545	106	335	1986	80%	1260	654	0	0	654	52%			
	Wilson	3900	2625	91	21	2737	70%	1260	654	63	0	717	57%			
	York	2379	1620	368	245	2233	94%	1260	654	5	0	659	52%			
	Foulis	2223	2325	104	48	2477	111%	900	510	0	0	510	57%			
Lung	Lind <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Victoria	2340	2468	194	0	2662	114%	473	405	0	24	429	91%			
	Cedar	2415	1668	537	12	2216	92%	690	540.5	46	0	587	85%			
	Cherry Tree <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	4290	3425	326	0	3750	87%	1178	609	125	0	733	62%			
Harefield	HDU	2250	2012	0	0	2012	89%	0	0	0	0	0	0%			
Hareneia	ITU	7125	9813	936	12	10760	151%	1194	1048	29	0	1077	90%			
	Maple	1950	1357	171	0	1528	78%	690	552	66	0	618	90%			
	Juniper	2312	1880	120	115	2116	92%	1000	719	0	0	719	72%			
	Oak/Acorn	5850	4178	397	0	4575	78%	2340	870	297	0	1167	50%			
Whole Tru	ıst	67190	57018	4595	2561	64174	95.51%	19069	10294	693	24	11010	57.74%			

<sup>\*</sup> Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15<sup>th</sup> July. 2018. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

**Table 2: Night Shifts** 

Table 2. IV		Night														
				Registered	d Nurses		Care Staff									
	Ward			Actual (hrs)					А	ctual (hrs)						
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6210	4117	1012	666	5795	93%	345	155	0	0	155	45%			
	Rose	5175	2956	1185	941	5081	98%	345	155	0	0	155	45%			
	AICU	7245	5474	12	0	5486	76%	330	94.6	0	0	95	29%			
RBH	Alex	1650	990	374	220	1584	96%	330	95	110	22	227	69%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	3960	1683	484	235	2402	61%	330	95	22	22	139	42%			
Paeds	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	1320	605	418	143	1166	88%	330	95	55	22	172	52%			
	Wilson	1650	935	397	79	1411	86%	330	95	44	33	172	52%			
	York	1705	902	297	132	1331	78%	330	95	0	22	117	35%			
	Foulis	1650	1452	132	46	1630	99%	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Lung	Lind <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_			
	Victoria	1320	1188	111	156	1455	110%	231	154	33	66	253	110%			
	Cedar	1725	1093	507	0	1599	93%	690	483	81	0	564	82%			
	Cherry Tree <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	2970	2139	725	23	2887	97%	714	483	250	0	733	103%			
Harefield	HDU	2070	1806	0	0	1806	87%	0	0	0	0	0	0%			
пагенец	ITU	6555	6406	1505	725	8635	132%	345	379.5	155	0	535	155%			
	Maple	990	966	69	0	1035	105%	690	598	12	0	610	88%			
	Juniper	2415	1266	575	35	1875	78%	713	598.9	0	0	599	84%			
	Oak/Acorn	3630	2079	900	46	3025	83%	660	198	172	0	370	56%			
Whole Tru	ıst	52240	36054	8700	3446	48200	92.27%	6713	3772.5	933	187	4892	72.88%			

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lind: please note that Lind ward have no longer inpatients cases, daycase will not be reported to NHS Improvement, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Lind's beds are currently for daycases.

Table 3: Reasons for Use of Bank and Agency (by no. of shifts)

This information will not be reported to NHS London

	Ward	Annual leave	Patient acuity	Patient Volume	Sickness	Vacancy	Maternity cover	Study Leave	Other
	PICU		0	406		0		0	
	PICO	0	U	406	0	U	0	U	0
	Rose	0	0	400	0	0	0	0	0
RBH	AICU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heart &	Alex	0	12	139	0	0	0	0	0
Paeds	Elizabeth	0	5	244	0	0	0	0	0
	Paul Wood	0	0	0	0	180	0	0	0
	Sir Reginald Wilson	0	2	79	0	0	0	0	0
	York	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Foulis	0	0	34	1	0	0	0	0
Lung	Lind	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0
_	Victoria	1	5	12	1	48	0	0	0
	Cedar	0	2	1	22	153	1	0	0
	Cherry Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rowan/Fir Tree	0	22	75	27	44	0	0	0
Harefield	HDU	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Haienelu	ITU	0	0	553	0	0	0	0	0
	Maple	0	0	3	11	28	5	0	0
	Juniper	4	1	1	5	1	0	0	0
	Oak/Acorn	0	17	169	32	17	0	0	0
Whole									
Trust		5	67	2125	102	471	6	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS Improvement, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for daycases.

# **Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust**

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

Table 4: Use of RMNs (by no. of hours)

This is included in the tables above, and will form part of the numbers reported to NHS London. This is a change to last month, following the publication of further guidance from NHS England.

•	
	Number of
Ward	hours
-	0

Source: Nurse Bank Databases

Appendix 1: Data sources, definitions and assumptions made

#### Tables 1 and 2: Day and Night Shifts

This data is compiled from a number of sources:

1. Planned hours. This is the number of hours each ward is funded for (from nurse recruitment office) and should match the posters up on each ward.

#### 2. Actual hours

- a. Usual roster. These are the hours that have been recorded on e-roster/MAPPS.
- b. Bank. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked bank staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
- c. Agency. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked agency staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
- d. Care staff. HCA's working on the adult wards in Sydney Street are pooled and rotate between the 5 wards. Therefore, the planned and actual hours worked hours have been averaged out to be equal across all these wards.
- 3. Total fill. This is the sum of the actual hours worked (i.e. usual roster, bank, agency)
- 4. Average fill rate. This is total fill divided by the planned hours, expressed as a %.

### Table 3: Reasons for Bank and Agency Use

These are the reasons, as documented on the Nurse Bank spreadsheets.

For RBH, there were a number of blank entries, which have been excluded.

### Table 4: Use of RMNs

If a patient needs RMN input, then this can equate to a notable number of additional hours. Details of RMN use is reported here for internal use only – it is also required to be reported to NHS Digital, and is included in the other tables in this report.

## Appendix 2: NHS Digital template

	1/5/2014 to 31/ RL to the page		ng: Nu	Fill rat Irsing,	e indi midwi	cator i ifery a	return nd car			the UNI	Y syster	n				
							ay				ght		D	ay	Ni	ght
Hospital S	Site Details Main two special on each warr				ed nurses/ wives	Care Staff		Registered nurses/ midwives Care St				Ave	Aver	Ave		
Site code *The Site code is automaticall y populated when a Site name is selected	Hospital Site name	Ward name	S1	S2	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	Average fill rate - care staff (%)	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	rage fill rate - care staff (%)
	Select from from drop down list		drop	Select from from drop down list										Automatic calculation		