



Nurse Staffing Indicator Template

Reporting Period: 1st June 2014 – 30th June 2014

Summary of Results and Commentary – Registered Nurse Staffing Levels

		Registered Nurses									
Ward		Day	Night	Comments							
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Confinents							
	PICU	100%	101%	Correct staff for the patients including support of Rose Ward.							
	Rose	101%	81%	Day shift was on plan. Night shift nurse staffing levels were supported with nurses from PICU and judged to be safe as fewer patients admitted and staying overnight than usual.							
	AICU	124%	119%	AICU was staffed appropriately for the number of patients and acuity both on night and day shifts.							
RBH Heart & Paeds	Alex	115%	101%	Day shift on plan. Night shift, nurse staffing reduced as a small number of beds were empty.							
	Elizabeth	116%	93%	Day shift on plan. Night shift, nurse staffing reduced as a small number of beds were empty.							
	Paul Wood	100%	105%	Day and night shift nurse staffing adjusted as a small number of beds were empty							
	Sir Reginald Wilson	71%	99%	Day and night shift nurse staffing adjusted as a number of beds were empty							
	York	111%	93%	Day and night shift nurse staffing adjusted as a s number of beds were empty							
	Foulis	94%	108%	Intravenous drug regime pressures continue to require additional staffing							
Lung	Lind	97%	74%	Night staffing adjusted to reduced admissions							
	Victoria	93%	88%	Long term sick and maternity leave cover with temporary staffing leaving some shifts not covered							
	Cedar	143%	101%	Day shift on plan. Night shift, reduction in patient dependency level.							
	Cherry Tree	-	-								
	Rowan/Fir Tree	93%	90%	Day and night shift on plan.							
Harefield	HDU	86%	88%	Day and night shift on plan.							
	ITU	121%	111%	Day shift on plan. Night shift a very small number of beds were empty.							
	Maple	88%	112%	Day shift on plan. Night shift, patient dependency increased.							
	Oak/Acorn	108%	109%	Day and Night shift on plan.							
Whole Tru	st	102%	101%								

Nurse Staffing – Jun 14

Last updated: 11th Jul 14

Summary of Results and Commentary –Care Staffing Levels

			Care Staff	(NB this is a small proportion of the overall nurse staffing complement)
	Ward	Day	Night	Comments
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	76%	49%	On discussion with staff there was agreement not to fill empty care worker shifts with unknown and unqualified care workers.
	Rose	76%	49%	On discussion with staff there was agreement not to fill empty care worker shifts with unknown and unqualified care workers.
	AICU	75%	100%	Day shift care worker not replaced – AICU appropriately staffed.
RBH	Alex	80%	221%	Day shift care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift: Additional care workers needed on 5 occasions to provide 1:1 supervision of patients
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	60%	75%	Day shift care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift on plan
	Paul Wood	74%	155%	Day shift care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift on plan
	Sir Reginald Wilson	64%	355%	Day shift care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift private patients booked additional care worker support for 2 patients
	York	77%	98%	Day shift care workers not replaced as thee were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift on plan.
	Foulis	108%	60%	HCA allocation flexes to activity, day and night on plan
Lung	Lind	100%	100%	Day and night shift on plan
	Victoria	88%	40%	HCA allocation flexes to activity, day and night on plan
	Cedar	65%	98%	Care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift, additional care workers needed to provide on 10 shifts 1:1 supervision of patients vacancies.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	-
	Rowan/Fir Tree	65%	167%	1 patient receiving 24 1:1 HCA cover due to high care needs.
Harefield	HDU	100%	100%	Day and night shift on plan
	ITU	98%	100%	Day and night shift on plan
	Maple	22%	43%	Day and night shift on plan
	Oak/Acorn	52%	102%	Day shift care workers not replaced as there were sufficient registered nurses to provide safe care. Night shift on plan.
Whole Tru	st	59%	80%	

Table 1: Day shifts

* Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15th July 2014. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

		Day												
Ward				Registere	ed nurses		Care staff							
				Actual (hrs)						Actual (hrs)				
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	
	PICU	6375	5458	368	564	6389	100%	968	739	0	0	739	76%	
	Rose	5625	3915	411	1329	5655	101%	968	739	0	0	739	76%	
	AICU	6000	5934	475	1011	7420	124%	330	249	0	0	249	75%	
RBH	Alex	2730	2760	217	171	3148	115%	360	218	34.5	36	288	80%	
Heart &	Elizabeth	3900	3923	224	362	4508	116%	360	218	0	0	218	60%	
Paeds	Paul Wood	2321	1838	178	296	2311	100%	360	218	5	43.5	266	74%	
	Sir Reginald Wilson	3900	2561	124	77	2761	71%	360	218	12.5	0	230	64%	
	York	2379	1920	299	420	2638	111%	360	218	20	39	276	77%	
	Foulis	3015	2783	12	48	2843	94%	450	488	0	0	488	108%	
Lung	Lind ¹	788	766	0	0	766	97%	0	0	0	0	0	100%	
	Victoria	2475	2063	198	32	2292	93%	443	390	0	0	390	88%	
	Cedar	1575	1974	201	81	2255	143%	1140	731.5	12	0	743	65%	
	Cherry Tree ²	819	-	83	0	83	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Rowan/Fir Tree	3783	3404	111	0	3515	93%	1477	835	131	0	966	65%	
Harefield	HDU	2250	1863	55	12	1929	86%	0	0	0	0	0	100%	
	ITU	7788	7769	708	73	8549	121%	658	402	245	0	647	98%	
	Maple	2886	2437	115	0	2552	88%	3086	616	63	0	679	22%	
	Oak/Acorn	5070	4941	527	0	5468	108%	2923	1289	244	0	1533	52%	
Whole Trus	st	63678	56305	4304	4471	65079	102.20%	14241	7565	766	119	8449	59.33%	

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

¹Lind: please note these are the total hours planned and filled for the unit. When reporting to NHS England, day case beds are excluded

²Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS London, as day case beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for day cases.

Table 2: Night shifts

* Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS England on 15th July 2014. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

		Night													
Ward				Register	ed nurses		Care staff								
				Actual (hrs)						Actual (hrs)					
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)		
	PICU	5865	4785	617	506	5908	101%	518	253	0	0	253	49%		
	Rose	5175	2795	288	1127	4209	81%	518	253	0	0	253	49%		
	AICU	5520	5382	587	610	6578	119%	0	0	0	0	0	100%		
RBH	Alex	1650	1243	176	253	1672	101%	83	62	66	55	183	221%		
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	3300	1804	792	464	3060	93%	83	62	0	0	62	75%		
Paeus	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	1221	891	220	165	1276	105%	83	62	11	55	128	155%		
	Wilson	1320	825	297	187	1309	99%	83	62	66	165	293	355%		
	York	1221	576	275	286	1137	93%	231	62	154	11	227	98%		
	Foulis	1320	1353	66	11	1430	108%	330	132	33	33	198	60%		
Lung	Lind ¹	504	374	0	0	374	74%	0	0	0	0	0	100%		
	Victoria	1507	1221	66	33	1320	88%	330	132	0	0	132	40%		
	Cedar	1380	1219	150	23	1392	101%	690	506	172	0	678	98%		
	Cherry Tree ²	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0	100%		
	Rowan/Fir Tree	2640	2220	150	0	2369	90%	345	483	81	12	575	167%		
Harefield	HDU	1967	1472	242	23	1737	88%	0	0	0	0	0	100%		
	ITU	7073	5566	1754	552	7872	111%	345	230	114	0	344	100%		
	Maple	1650	1599	233	12	1843	112%	1380	425.5	173	0	598	43%		
	Oak/Acorn	2970	1705	1415	103	3223	109%	495	275	217	12	504	102%		
Whole Trus	st	46282	35029	7324	4354	46707	100.92%	5511	2997.5	1086	342	4425	80.30%		

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

¹Lind: please note these are the total hours planned and filled for the unit. When reporting to NHS England, day case beds are excluded

²Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS London, as day case beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for day cases.

Table 3: Reasons for use of bank and agency (by no. of shifts)

This information will not be reported to NHS London

				Patient			Maternity		
	Ward	Annual leave	Patient acuity	volume	Sickness	Vacancy	cover	Study leave	Other
	PICU	0	0	0	0	179	0	0	1
	Rose	0	0	0	0	280	0	0	0
	AICU	0	0	3	0	310	0	0	0
RBH	Alex	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0
Heart & Paeds	Elizabeth	0	0	89	0	0	0	0	0
Paeus	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	0	0	14	4	0	0	0	0
	Wilson	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
	York	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
	Foulis	0	0	16	2	13	5	0	0
Lung	Lind	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Victoria	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Cedar	5	3	10	14	22	0	2	0
	Cherry Tree	20	0	5	5	3	0	1	1
	Rowan/Fir Tree	5	18	15	8	0	0	1	0
Harefield	HDU	0	3	21	0	8	1	0	1
	ITU	0	1	308	0	0	0	0	1
	Maple	3	2	6	36	0	0	2	3
	Oak/Acorn	20	9	71	28	59	40	0	0
Whole Trust		53	36	629	97	888	46	6	9

Source: Nurse Bank Databases

Table 4: Use of RMNs (by no. of hours)

This is included in the tables above, and will form part of the numbers reported to NHS London. This is a change to last month, following the publication of further guidance from NHS England.

-	_
	Number of
Ward	hours
-	0

Source: Nurse Bank Databases

Appendix 1: Data sources, definitions and assumptions made

Tables 1 and 2: Day and night shifts

This data is compiled from a number of sources:

- 1. Planned hours. This is the number of hours each ward is funded for (from nurse recruitment office) and should match the posters up on each ward.
- 2. Actual hours
 - a. Usual roster. These are the hours that have been recorded on e-roster/MAPPS.
 - b. Bank. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the nurse bank/agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked bank staff directly (ie not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - c. Agency. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the nurse bank/agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked agency staff directly (ie not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - d. Care staff. HCAs working on the adult wards in Sydney Street are pooled and rotate between the five wards. Therefore, the planned and actual hours worked hours have been averaged out to be equal across all these wards.
- 3. Total fill. This is the sum of the actual hours worked (ie usual roster, bank, agency)
- 4. Average fill rate. This is total fill divided by the planned hours, expressed as a %.

Table 3: Reasons for bank and agency use

These are the reasons, as documented on the nurse bank spreadsheets.

For RBH, there were a number of blank entries, which have been excluded.

Table 4: Use of RMNs

If a patient needs RMN input, then this can equate to a notable number of additional hours. Details of RMN use is reported here for internal use only – it is also required to be reported to UNIFY, and is included in the other tables in this report.

Appendix 2: UNIFY template

	1/5/2014 to 31/0 RL to the page		ng: Nu	Fill rat Fill rat Irsing,	e indi midwi	cator i fery a	eturn nd car			the UNII	FY syster	n]	
						D	ay			Ni	ght		D	ay	Nig	ght
Hospital Site Details		Main two specialties on each ward			Registered nurses/ midwives Care Staff			Staff	Registered nurses/ midwives Care Staff			Aver	Ave	Aver	Avo	
Site code *The Site code is automaticall y populated when a Site name is selected	Hospital Site name	Ward name	S1	S2	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives(光)	erage fill rate - care staff (%)	rage fill rate - registered nurses/midv/ives (%)	rage fill rate - care staff (%)
	Select from from drop down list		drop	Select from from drop down list											Automatic calculation	