



Nurse Staffing Indicator Template Reporting Period: 1st – 31th Mar. 2019

Summary of Results and Commentary – Registered Nurse Staffing Levels

Ward			,	Registered Nurses
	waid	Day	Night	Comments
		% fill rate	% fill rate	
	PICU	99%	88%	Safe staffing
	Rose	89%	76%	Safe staffing
	AICU	106%	109%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
RBH	Alex	126%	148%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
Heart &	Elizabeth	100%	113%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
Paeds	Paul Wood	122%	140%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
	Sir Reginald Wilson	80%	122%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
	York	89%	74%	Nurse staffing is representative of activity and is on plan.
	Foulis	127%	116%	Safe staffing maintained to meet patient acuity and care needs. Increase in staff to meet higher care needs for some
Lung				patients.
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A
	Victoria	123%	107%	On Plan to meet safe care needs for level 2 patients. One to one patient requiring level 2 care.
	Cedar	101%	98%	On plan. Safe staffing maintained.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	108%	92%	Safe staffing levels.
	HDU	96%	93%	Nursing levels adjusted according to patient levels and acuity. Safe staffing levels maintained.
Harefield	ITU	127%	113%	Increase in beds to 24. Increase in supernumerary staff to fill vacancy gaps.
пагенец	Maple	98%	104%	Unable to fill all day shifts, senior sister Covered some shifts, a few left uncovered if patient safety uncompromised. All night
				shifts covered. Did have a shift where we had no HCA's on duty and were unable to get a bank / agency HCA and had a band
				5 bank nurse instead as we needed the man power to ensure patient safety.
	Juniper	102%	82%	Safe staffing maintained. Patient's treatment and care needs met. Unfilled RGN Night shifts. Reduced requirement for night
	0-1-/4	0404	700/	staff due to day case activity.
144 1 -	Oak/Acorn	91%	78%	Safe staffing levels maintained.
Whole Tru	IST	105%	101%	

Summary of Results and Commentary – Care Staffing Levels

				Care Staff (NB this is a small proportion of the overall nurse staffing complement)
	Ward	Day	Night	
		% fill rate	% fill rate	Comments
	PICU	39%	53%	N/A
	Rose	39%	53%	N/A
	AICU	55%	33%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs.
RBH Heart &	Alex	60%	220%	Additional healthcare worker support given to support increased patient dependency.
Paeds	Elizabeth	57%	65%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs.
	Paul Wood	57%	75%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs.
	Sir Reginald Wilson	56%	49%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs.
	York	57%	59%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs.
	Foulis	48%	0%	Safe care given and offset by registered nurses to safely meet patients needs
Lung	Lind	-	-	N/A
	Victoria	54%	143%	Night care staff increased over weekend to safely meet care needs of patients and offset by registered nurses in the day.
	Cedar	102%	90%	On plan. Safe staffing maintained.
	Cherry Tree	-	-	N/A
	Rowan/Fir Tree	67%	82%	Safe staffing levels.
	HDU	0%	0%	N/A
Harefield	ITU	61%	100%	Reduction due to vacancies.
	Maple	86%	87%	We had 3 HCA's off sick for much of the month, we were unable to fill all these vacancies, Patient safety maintained as we had 4 student nurses.
	Juniper	78%	50%	Health care assistant unfilled shifts. Safe staffing maintained, patient's treatment and care needs met.
	Oak/Acorn	45%	67%	Safe staffing levels maintained.
Whole Tru	ıst	57%	80%	

Table 1: Day Shifts

Ward							Day								
				Registered	d Nurses		_	Care Staff							
		Actual (hrs)							А	ctual (hrs)					
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)		
	PICU	6417	5254	662	466	6382	99%	1501	587	0	0	587	39%		
	Rose	5348	2948	769	1020	4737	89%	1501	587	0	0	587	39%		
	AICU	7487	7515	419	12	7946	106%	1302	720	0	0	720	55%		
RBH	Alex	2604	2970	140	159	3269	126%	1302	720	31.5	36	788	60%		
Heart &	Elizabeth	4464	3465	220	771	4456	100%	1302	720	12	7.5	740	57%		
Paeds	Paul Wood	2364	2700	161	35	2895	122%	1302	720	0	27	747	57%		
	Sir Reginald Wilson	3720	2855	99	35	2988	80%	1302	720	5	0	725	56%		
	York	2244	1733	121	142	1995	89%	1302	720	23	0	743	57%		
	Foulis	2112	2415	242	36	2693	127%	930	443	0	0	443	48%		
Lung	Lind ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Victoria	2232	2438	294	12	2744	123%	473	255	0	0	255	54%		
	Cedar	2496	1967	462	104	2532	101%	713	690	23	12	725	102%		
	Cherry Tree ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Rowan/Fir Tree	3922	3419	642	175	4236	108%	1178	574	213	0	787	67%		
Harefield	HDU	2139	2001	58	0	2058	96%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
пагенею	ITU	8913	10160	1197	0	11357	127%	1220.5	654	92	0	746	61%		
	Maple	1783	1311	429	12	1752	98%	713	541	74	0	615	86%		
	Juniper	2381	1922	320	183	2425	102%	955	720	30	0	749	78%		
	Oak/Acorn	5580	4495	549	12	5055	91%	2418	893	192	0	1084	45%		
Whole Tru	ıst	66203	59565	6780	3172	69517	105.01%	19413	10262	694	82	11038	56.86%		

^{*} Columns in *italics* will be submitted to NHS Digital on 15th Apr.2019. For further details about the sources of information and the assumptions made, please see Appendix 1.

Table 2: Night Shifts

Ward		Night														
				Registered	d Nurses			Care Staff								
				Actual (hrs)					А	ctual (hrs)						
		Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)	Planned (hrs)	Usual roster	Bank	Agency	Total fill (hrs)	Average fill rate (%)			
	PICU	6417	3889	955	805	5648	88%	357	190	0	0	190	53%			
	Rose	5348	2151	1139	752	4041	76%	357	190	0	0	190	53%			
	AICU	7487	7406	759	22	8187	109%	341	112.2	0	0	112	33%			
RBH	Alex	1705	1727	528	275	2530	148%	341	112	451	187	750	220%			
Heart &	Elizabeth	4092	3080	726	825	4631	113%	341	112	99	11	222	65%			
Paeds	Paul Wood Sir Reginald	1364	1452	407	44	1903	140%	341	112	55	88	255	75%			
	Wilson	1705	1518	528	33	2079	122%	341	112	44	11	167	49%			
	York	1705	957	242	55	1254	74%	341	112	33	55	200	59%			
	Foulis	1705	1639	330	11	1980	116%	0	0	0	0	0	0%			
Lung	Lind ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Victoria	1364	1342	33	88	1463	107%	308	286	143	11	440	143%			
	Cedar	1783	1058	621	69	1748	98%	713	356.5	276	12	644	90%			
	Cherry Tree ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Rowan/Fir Tree	3069	1771	968	92	2831	92%	714	379.5	207	0	587	82%			
Harefield	HDU	2139	1863	127	0	1990	93%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
патепеш	ITU	8913	6843	2949	299	10091	113%	357	345	12	0	357	100%			
	Maple	1023	805	263	0	1068	104%	713	563.5	58	0	621	87%			
	Juniper	2496	1081	795	173	2048	82%	713	299	57	0	356	50%			
	Oak/Acorn	3751	1606	1159	150	2915	78%	682	330	115	12	457	67%			
Whole Trust		56064	40187	12527	3692	56405	100.61%	6959	3612.2	1549	386	5547	79.71%			

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

¹Lind: please note that Lind ward have no longer inpatients cases, daycase will not be reported to NHS Digital, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Lind's beds are currently for daycases.

² Cherry Tree: please note that information on Cherry Tree will not be reported to NHS Digital, as daycase beds are excluded from the reporting requirement. All of Cherry Tree's beds are currently for daycases.

Table 3: Reasons for Use of Bank and Agency (by no. of shifts)

This information will not be reported to NHS London

		Annual	Patient	Patient			Maternity	Study	
	Ward	leave	acuity	Volume	Sickness	Vacancy	cover	Leave	Other
	PICU	0	0	343	0	0	0	0	0
	Rose	0	0	400	0	0	0	0	0
DDII	AICU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RBH Heart &	Alex	0	64	103	0	0	0	0	0
Paeds	Elizabeth	0	12	229	0	0	0	0	0
	Paul Wood	0	16	65	0	0	0	0	0
	Sir Reginald								
	Wilson	0	6	73	0	0	0	0	0
	York	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Foulis	0	0	55	0	0	0	0	0
Lung	Lind	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Victoria	1	5	12	1	48	0	0	0
	Cedar	0	1	21	46	73	4	0	0
	Cherry Tree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rowan/Fir Tree	0	1	32	28	158	2	0	0
Harefield	HDU	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	0
riarenelu	ITU	0	0	599	1	1	0	1	0
	Maple	0	0	5	26	22	13	0	0
	Juniper	4	1	1	5	1	0	0	0
	Oak/Acorn	0	10	56	41	0	0	0	0
Whole									
Trust		5	128	2009	148	303	19	1	0

Source: Nurse Bank Databases, E-Roster/MAPPS

Table 4: Use of RMNs (by no. of hours)

This is included in the tables above, and will form part of the numbers reported to NHS Digital. This is a change following the publication of further guidance from NHS England.



Source: Nurse Bank Databases

Appendix 1: Data sources, definitions and assumptions made

Tables 1 and 2: Day and Night Shifts

This data is compiled from a number of sources:

- 1. Planned hours. This is the number of hours each ward is funded for (from nurse recruitment office) and should match the posters up on each ward.
- 2. Actual hours
 - a. Usual roster. These are the hours that have been recorded on e-roster/MAPPS.
 - b. Bank. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked bank staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - c. Agency. These are staff booked through the bank office, and are recorded on the Nurse Bank/Agency spreadsheets. Where wards have booked agency staff directly (i.e. not through the bank office), these are not included as accurate information is not held / easily available.
 - d. Care staff. HCA's working on the adult wards in Sydney Street are pooled and rotate between the 5 wards. Therefore, the planned and actual hours worked hours have been averaged out to be equal across all these wards.
- 3. Total fill. This is the sum of the actual hours worked (i.e. usual roster, bank, agency)
- 4. Average fill rate. This is total fill divided by the planned hours, expressed as a %.

Table 3: Reasons for Bank and Agency Use

These are the reasons, as documented on the Nurse Bank spreadsheets.

For RBH, there were a number of blank entries, which have been excluded.

Table 4: Use of RMNs

If a patient needs RMN input, then this can equate to a notable number of additional hours. Details of RMN use is reported here for internal use only – it is also required to be reported to NHS Digital, and is included in the other tables in this report.

Appendix 2: NHS Digital template

Fill Rate Indictor Return Staffing: Nursing, Midwifery, Care Staff & CHPPD

		Ward name	Main 2 Specialti es on each ward		Day				Night		Day			Night	C	Care Hours Per Patient Day (CHPPD)				
Hospital Site De	tails	Hospi tal Site name	Main 2 Specialties on each ward		Registered mi	Registered midwives/nurses		Care Staff		wives/nurses	Care Staff		Average fill rate - (%)	Average fill	Average fill rate - (%)	Average fill rate	Cumulative 23:59 each	Registered midwiv	Care Staff	Overall
Site code *The Site code is automatically populated when a Site name is selected			Specialty 1	Specialty 2	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	Total monthly planned staff hours	Total monthly actual staff hours	rate - registered nurses/ midwives	fill rate - care staff (%)	rate - registered nurses/ midwives	rate - care staff (%)	ecount over the month of patients at day	midwives/ nurses		
		Select from drop down list	Select from drop down list	Select from drop down list									Automatic calculation	Automatic calculation	Automatic calculation	Automatic calculation		Automatic calculation	Automatic calculation	Automatic calculation